

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,361	01/2	3/2004	Patrick P. Hussey	KVTWO123505	7591	
26389	7590 04/27/2006			EXAMINER		
	ISEN, O'CC	HANEY, RIC	HANEY, RICHALE LEE			
1420 FIFTH SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,	WA 98101-	2347	3765			

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/2005.</li> </ol>	ving Review (PTO-948)	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Sum	mary ·	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20

Attachment(s)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 8/8/2005 has been received. Claims 1 –14 have been amended. Claims 1 –14 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1, 2, 5, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Desimone et al. (US 2,399,991). The device of Desimone et al. disclose goggles having an air venting system comprising: a goggle body (Fig. 1, 11), a lens (14) mounted in a lens aperture, an eye cavity, at least one intake aperture (Fig. 2, 12) communicating through said goggle body with said eye cavity; and at least one clip (Fig. 5, 16) said clip having a leading edge (Fig. 1 at 26), a trailing edge (adjacent 32), connected by a pair of side edges, an interior clip surface and an exterior clip surface; a clip aperture (Fig. 5,17) communicating through said clip between said interior surface and said exterior surface; means of attachment (27) of said clip to said sidewall; and said exterior surface of said clip between said clip aperture and said leading edge dimension to create a low air pressure area immediately adjacent to said clip aperture when moving air travels over said leading edge and means for attachment of strap to an

Art Unit: 3765

area at the trailing edge (Fig. 8, 16, 38) With regard to claims 13 and 14 it is noted that applicant recites "at least one clip is a member of a kit of clips". Claims 13 and 14 are both directed to a sport goggle. Recitation of a "kit of clips" does not further limit these claims, since only one clip is present on the goggle at any one time. Therefore, the clip structure of the goggle is defined by a single clip rather than by all the members of a kit of clips. The clip of Desimone is a member of a kit of clips since Desimone's clip may be removed and replaced with another clip.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 4, 6 –12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant submits that the prior art reference of Desimone et al. does not anticipate claims 1, 2, 13 and 14. Stating that the clip claimed and the clip of Desimone et al. are not analogous and that the device does not appear to be dimensioned to create a low pressure area immediately adjacent to the clip aperture when moving air travels over the leading edge. When interpreted in the broadest possible sense the device of Desimone et al. the clip (16) meets the limitations as claimed by the applicant.

**Art Unit: 3765** 

Applicant's disclosure doesn't provide data to support what would be considered "dimensioned to create a low air pressure area." Since, the areas of the claimed invention and the prior art reference are substantially the same size, and the structure of the inventions meets the limitations claimed by the applicant, it can be assumed that the prior art reference would perform in the same manner as that claimed by the applicant.

In response to applicant's statement, "the goggles of Desimone et al. tdo not appear capable and are not described as being suitable for sports involving motion," it is noted by the examiner that this is a statement regarding the intended use of the invention. The invention of Desimone et al. is capable of being worn while participating in motion sports and therefore meets the limitations claimed.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/763,361 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richale L. Haney whose telephone number is 571-272-8689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on 571 -272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richale L. Haney Patent Examiner Art Unit 3765 April 24, 2006

**RLH** 

JOHOD. CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700